WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America v.				ORDER OF	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	Juan	Jose V	'alladares-Lopez	Case Number:	16-1125MJ-008-PHX-JFM		
			_	` <i>'</i>	een submitted to the Court. I conclude		
	•		nvincing evidence the defendant is this case.	a danger to the community	and require the detention of the defendant		
×		reponder ng trial in	il Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been submitted to the Court. I conclude established: (Check one or both, as applicable.) incing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant is case. ce of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant is case. PART I FINDINGS OF FACT §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that we been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). In offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. In offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses escribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. In y felony that involves a minor victim or that involves the possession or use of a firearm or destructive evice (as those terms are defined in section 921), or any other dangerous weapon, or involves a failure or register under 18 U.S.C. §2250. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release				
			PART I -	- FINDINGS OF FACT			
	(1)			•			
			a crime of violence as defined in	18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).			
			an offense for which the maximur	m sentence is life imprisonme	ent or death.		
			an offense for which a maximum	term of imprisonment of ten	years or more is prescribed in		
			a felony that was committed after described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(the defendant had been cor 1)(A)-(C), or comparable star	victed of two or more prior federal offenses te or local offenses.		
			any felony that involves a minor v device (as those terms are define to register under 18 U.S.C. §2250	d in section 921), or any other	ssession or use of a firearm or destructive er dangerous weapon, or involves a failure		
	(2)	18 U.S pendin	.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense deg trial for a federal, state or local of	escribed in finding 1 was con fense.	nmitted while the defendant was on release		
	(3)	18 U.S convict	.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of notion)(release of the defendant from	t more than five years has el imprisonment) for the offens	apsed since the (date of e described in finding 1.		
	(4)	will rea	gs Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a resonably assure the safety of (an)ot utted this presumption.	rebuttable presumption that reher person(s) and the comm	no condition or combination of conditions nunity. I further find that the defendant has		
			Alte	rnative Findings			
	(1)	18 U.S	.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable of	cause to believe that the defe	endant has committed an offense		
			for which a maximum term of imp	risonment of ten years or mo	ore is prescribed in		
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a),	or 2332b.			
			under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for w prescribed.	hich a maximum term of imp	orisonment of 20 years or more is		
			an offense involving a minor victir	n under section	2		
	(2)	The de	fendant has not rebutted the presu	mption established by finding	g 1 that no condition or combination of required and the safety of the community.		

 $^{^{1}} Insert \ as \ applicable: (a) \ Controlled \ Substances \ Act \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 801 \ et \ seq.); (b) \ Controlled \ Substances \ Import \ and \ Export \ Act \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 951 \ et \ seq.); or \ (c) \ Section \ 1 \ of \ Act \ of \ Sept. \ 15, \ 1980 \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 955a).$

 $^{{}^{2}\}text{Insert as applicable } 18\,\text{U.S.C.}\,\$\$1201,1591,2241-42,2244(a)(1),2245,2251,2251A,2252(a)(1),2252(a)(2),2252(a)(3,2252(a)(4),2260,2421,2422,2423,\text{ or }2425.$

Case 2:16-cr-00305-JJT Document 24 Filed 02/29/16 Page 2 of 3

	Alternative Findings					
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	or combination of conditions will reasonably				
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assu	re the safety of others and the community.				
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attendintimidate a prospective witness or juror).	npt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or				
(4)						
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASON (Check one or both, as applicable.)					
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at evidence as to danger that:	the hearing establishes by clear and convincing				
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:					
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.					
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.					
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.					
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.					
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by	y fleeing from law enforcement.				
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of	incarceration and a maximum of				
The d	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretric	al Services Report.				
The d	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretri	al Services Report.				

 $^{^{3}}$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. $^{\$}$ 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. $^{\$}$ 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:16-cr-00305-JJT Document 24 Filed 02/29/16 Page 3 of 3

In addition:

The defendant submitted the issue of detention. The defendant has ties to a foreign country. There is no evidence of defendant having community ties in the District of Arizona or elsewhere within the United States. The weight of the evidence against the defendant is great.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

7 lichell

DATED this 29th day of February, 2016

United States Magistrate Judge